

MINUTES OF MEETING
ARLINGTON RIDGE
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Arlington Ridge Community Development District was held Wednesday, October 11, 2017 at 1:00 p.m. at Fairfax Hall, 4475 Arlington Ridge Boulevard, Leesburg, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Dominic A. Setaro, Jr.	Chairman
Sharon S. Brown	Vice Chairperson
Ronald Worrich	Assistant Secretary
Gloria Faylor	Assistant Secretary
Thomas Michenzi	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

George Flint	District Manager
Darrin Mossing, Jr.	GMS
Jennifer Kilinski	District Counsel
Kyle Nelson	General Manager
Arthur Erickson	Florida Leisure Communities (by phone)
Tom Eleazer	Florida Leisure Communities
Chris Semko	Floralawn

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Mr. Flint called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m. and stated that all Board Members were present.

• **Introductions: Board and Staff**

Mr. Flint: George Flint with Governmental Management Services. We were hired as the District Manager for the CDD.

Mr. Setaro: Dom Setaro. I have been a resident since 2006 and a Board Member for the last five years.

Ms. Brown: Sharon Brown. I've been a resident since 2006 and a Board Member for five years.

Mr. Michenzi: Tom Michenzi. I have been a permanent resident since 2014 and a Board Member for 11 months.

Mr. Worrich: Ron Worrich. I have been a resident since 2014 and on the Board for 11 months.

Ms. Faylor: Gloria Faylor. I've lived here since 2012 and on the Board for almost three years.

Ms. Kilinski: Jennifer Kilinski and District Counsel to the District. I'm with Hopping, Green & Sams.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Comment Period

Mr. Setaro: Residents have the opportunity to comment on any item. We have a limit of speaking one time, for three minutes. Are there any audience comments?

Ms. Hanson: Ida May Hanson, Lot 374. I'm not sure if this is the proper place, but a resident, George Ray is trouble, and I'm wondering what is being done about him. I see him parked in front of the townhomes with another truck in the early morning. That only means trouble.

Mr. Setaro: The Board voted on two different occasions, most recently a couple of months ago. He's been suspended from all activities, indefinitely. There is no other action that we are aware of that we can take. We talked about it and he was suspended from using our facilities.

Ms. Hanson: Can we legally make him stay off of our property? He doesn't live here. His mother lives here.

Ms. Brown: I think he lives with his mother.

Ms. Hanson: So we can't give him a restraining order to remove him?

Mr. Flint: The only thing that this Board has control over, are the facilities that the CDD owns; this facility and the pool. They can preclude him from coming on property. If he does, he can be arrested for trespassing. We have no control over whether he chooses to visit his mother, or a friend within the community. The roads in the community are public roads. Even if they were private, I think it would be difficult to exclude him, in that case. This Board has done what they can do to prohibit his access to these amenities.

Ms. Hanson: When we see him sitting out there, should we be calling the police to bring this to their attention? He is now with another person that meets with him in the early morning. Sometimes is 6:00 a.m. or 6:30 a.m. They are doing something illegal. They were throwing beer cans and bottles into the sewer.

Mr. Setaro: That's an option that you can do. We've called the police on numerous occasions. Individually if you see something suspicious, call the police.

Ms. Hanson: Thank you.

Mr. Ryan: He was parked on White Plains Way a little while ago. The truck had South Carolina plates on it. I called the Leesburg Police Department. They were right in front of a vacant lot drinking out of a port bottle.

A Resident: Recently, an employee was fired. We had no way of finding out why they were fired and under who's authority. Can a Board Member just fire somebody on the spot? Do they have the authority to do that? Everything we hear, we hear from word of mouth and sometime afterwards, we never know whether its correct. I would like to know what your comments are, and what we should expect from the Board.

Mr. Flint: Either I can address that or District Counsel can. The General Manager was the person who terminated them. Because it's a personnel matter, it's not something that should be talked about in a public meeting. Personnel matters are handled by staff. Beyond that, I think District Counsel cautioned the Board not to comment.

Ms. Kilinski: The only thing that I would add is that this Board approved an Employee Manual, which is a public record, because it was in the agenda package. The Employee Manual provided direction, regarding employees. It was probably discussed and it was in the agenda package, as to how the hiring authority was structured and how decisions were made. The Employee Manual was approved at the Board level. All of this was a public record.

A Resident: So I can go into the public records and find it?

Ms. Kilinski: I believe you can find agenda packages online.

A Resident: So they have the authority to fire on the spot?

Ms. Kilinski: Correct.

Ms. Miller: Janet Miller, Lot 145. I wanted to know if anything was done with the water in the back of my house and the pond level?

Mr. Flint: The District Engineer, Chairman, myself and the General Manager performed a site inspection after the hurricane. We looked at the construction drawings for the stormwater system in that area. I had a surveyor take the elevation of the water on both sides, on the side of the street where your house is, and the other side of the street, where water flows under the road, across the golf course and into another pond, which then flows into that creek. The water levels on both sides of the road are actually the same level. There's no blockage on your side of the street that's causing that water to stage higher. It's actually equalized. The water on the other side of the street that the pond flows into, is equalized at the control elevation. There's a structure at the southwest end of that pond where the creek is. There is a control structure that has a notch in it. Right now, the water is right at that notch. It's not flowing into the creek. It's at the control elevation for that pond. The way that system was designed, the water on your side of the street stages at that level. In the past, I think the soil was drier, so that water evaporated. Because that soil is wet right now, that water is not percolating into the ground. We had a diver go out and look at the pipe. There is some silt build up in the pipe, but it's not completely blocking the pipe. Again, there's not a blockage, although we do to clean those pipes out, so that in storm events, its flowing like it should be. We contracted with another surveyor to take elevations on the finished floor on your house, the control structure that's in the pond next to your house, the control structure on the other side of the street and the pipe that goes between the two ponds, just to ensure the system was built like it was designed. We are waiting for that information right now. I think we are doing everything that we can do. The fact that one structure is under water next to your house, doesn't mean that the pipe is not flowing, it's just that was where the top of that structure was built. It's at the control elevation, right now. Its equalized between both sides of the wetland. I think the other issue that was brought up was about mosquito concerns. In the Creating Ordinance for the CDD, there are certain powers that CDDs are given under Chapter 190. The Arlington Ridge CDD was not given mosquito control power. That's actually the responsibility of the County, so if there's going to be any spraying, the Governmental entity that actually has mosquito control responsibility, is not the CDD. I understand that Kyle reached out and requested treatment, but we are beholden to them, as to when they choose to treat the ponds.

Mr. Setaro: Thank you, George. Are there any other comments from the audience? Not hearing any, we will proceed with the agenda.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Business Administration

A. Approval of Minutes of the August 9, 2017 Meeting

Mr. Setaro: The next item on the agenda is the approval of the minutes of the August 9, 2017 meeting. Are there any corrections, additions or deletions?

Ms. Brown: I received an email from George saying that he couldn't differentiate Gloria's voice from my voice. I personally went through the minutes and made the corrections that I thought were incorrect and emailed them back. I'm fine with the minutes.

Ms. Brown moved to approve the minutes of the August 9, 2017 meeting, as amended, and Ms. Faylor seconded the motion.

Mr. Setaro: Is there any other discussion?

Mr. Worrich: The only correction that I have is on the voting of the User Agreement with Fairfax Hall on Page 14. I had the dissenting vote, not Tom.

Ms. Brown: That is correct.

Mr. Setaro: Are there any audience comments? Not hearing any,

On VOICE VOTE with all in favor, the minutes of the August 9, 2017 meeting, as amended, were approved.

B. Approval of Check Register

Mr. Worrich: I have a question on some of the entries here. There are five to seven entries where checks were issued and then there's a credit. They were administration, management fees, Information Tech, website and office supplies.

Mr. Flint: That is correct.

Mr. Worrich: The only other question has to do with the phone bill/internet. When can we expect service?

Mr. Nelson: Once we have the funds. Robbie is actually helping me with that.

Mr. Setaro: Are there any other comments or questions? Not hearing any,

Mr. Worrich moved to approve the Check Register for September 1 through September 30, 2017, and Mr. Michenzi seconded the motion.

Mr. Setaro: Are there any audience comments regarding the Check Register? Not hearing any.

On VOICE VOTE with all in favor, the Check Register for September 1 through September 30, 2017, was approved.

C. Balance Sheet and Income Statement

Mr. Setaro: Under Tab C, is the Balance Sheet and Income Statement.

Ms. Brown: I was looking at the restaurant financials. Of course, we don't have October, November, December, January and February invoices because we were with Vesta. When I take the cumulative loss on the restaurant, from March through September, and add this amount that we put towards operations, the total is \$43,000. Is that correct? I'm just trying to understand. I think the \$43,000, under restaurant, is what we funded for Vesta.

Mr. Flint: I will have to go back and look.

Ms. Brown: From FLC, we received \$30,514.

Mr. Setaro: Yes, which is 50% of the deficit.

Ms. Brown: Okay, but that's this deficit.

Mr. Setaro: They are billed for the prior year. Are there any other questions or concerns? Not hearing any,

Ms. Brown moved to approve the Unaudited Financial Statements for August 31, 2017, and Mr. Worrich seconded the motion.

Mr. Setaro: Are there any comments from the audience? Not hearing any,

On VOICE VOTE with all in favor, the Unaudited Financial Statements for August 31, 2017, were approved.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Business Items

A. Discussion of Payment of Restaurant Staff for Lost Time Due to Hurricane Irma

Mr. Setaro: I requested this item for the agenda, to entertain the idea of compensating staff, because of the lost power, due to the hurricane, and also because of the recent issue with the sprinkler in the kitchen that cost the restaurant to be closed two to three days. They receive minimum wage now as it is, and I'm suggesting that we consider paying them what their average hours would be, for an average week, for the period of one week, plus several other days for the last month.

Ms. Brown: I think that we have the best staff that we've ever had in the restaurant. They are loyal and are there when you need them. When they are scheduled, they show up. I agree with Dom that we need to compensate them in some way. Most of them receive tips, and they came in to clean and worked for a couple of days without tips. Besides that, I think it will go a long way towards loyalty, because they are great staff.

Mr. Michenzi: I agree. I think it's a good idea for us to do something for them.

Mr. Michenzi moved to compensate current restaurant staff for lost time due to Hurricane Irma, and Ms. Faylor seconded the motion.

Mr. Setaro: Are there any comments from the audience? Not hearing any,

On VOICE VOTE with all in favor, compensating current restaurant staff for lost time due to Hurricane Irma, was approved.

B. Discussion of Nail Tech and Massage Therapist

Mr. Setaro: I believe that this item is deferred until the next meeting.

Mr. Michenzi: I won't be at the next meeting.

Mr. Setaro: Then we will defer this to a future meeting.

Mr. Michenzi: I think with Kyle's situation, it's probably better.

Mr. Setaro: Kyle is working on it. His wife is expecting. Tom will not be here in November, so it will probably be discussed at the December meeting.

Ms. Brown: Right now, everything is status quo. Right?

Mr. Setaro: Yes.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

A. District Counsel

Ms. Kilinski: I have several items. The first is an update. We received an enforcement letter from DVPR regarding our alcohol license. It is a very long story, but the short version is that the District can be audited for its license at any time that the alcohol license changes hands. That's normally a trigger for DVPR to audit; although, I have Districts with an alcohol license that have never been audited, because they don't change hands as frequently as this one. In that audit request, letters were sent to the wrong address. The letters were submitted in a timely fashion, and Kyle negotiated an extension, sending back the information that they requested. They either never received that information, or they wrote us back with the information. We received an enforcement letter, which said, "*Your license is under consideration for termination, and we are offering you either a settlement offer or you can go to a hearing to dispute these charges.*" Our office called DVPR, talked to the Enforcement Captain and explained what we thought probably happened. He was able to confirm that notices should have been sent all along, and were going to Rizzetta's office in Tampa. We never received those notices. I have not heard back from him on what he's going to do about it, but said that he would look into it and try to get remedy for us. That was on Monday. In an abundance of caution, I wanted to let you know what had transpired, and to seek authorization from you, in the event that they come back and say, "*Sorry, we actually sent it to the wrong address, but we are still going to do quarterly enforcement action*". What they presented to the District are two options; one is a stipulated settlement, where we would say that we were wrong and, in doing so, agree to pay a \$200 fine and having the license revoked for a period of at least 120 days, at which time we can apply for any license. The second is to proceed with an administrative hearing process, where we would present evidence. It's a very informal hearing before a DVPR official, where we would make our case for why we may not have had any notice about audit information for complying with the Statute. We confirmed that all documents are compliant, but for what they were requesting, they just didn't receive them. That would be my recommendation, in this instance. We will email them later today or tomorrow and saying, "*We are unclear. We will submit our documentation again, but don't know if any hearing process is needed.*" In the event that they do, I wanted to

seek your recommendation and authorization for us to move forward with the hearing process, if we are not able to negotiate something beforehand. We only have 21 days to respond, from the time that we receive the letter, which I believe was October 6.

Mr. Flint: I believe it was last Friday. One of the main things that they are concerned about in the audit, is the percentage of food and revenue. Under the type of liquor license that we have, at least 51% of your revenue has to be derived from food sales. Periodically, if they do an audit, that's one of the things that they are focused on. Apparently they sent the request to the wrong address. We never received it, and therefore, couldn't comply with the request. We think if they backed off the enforcement and re-start the audit; we shouldn't be fined because our financial information shows we clearly meet that 51% threshold. Worst case scenario, we have to go through the administrative hearing process and make that case, which is going to be more expensive for everyone to do that.

Ms. Kilinski: If there's a settlement offer on the table, before we go through the administrative hearing process, I need Board direction. I think we will be able to remedy that. The Enforcement Captain sounded that way, because they are sending that. Let me be clear, our office sent three separate applications for change of address. We sent it the first time there was a change. We sent another one and then a third, when we saw that it wasn't updated in their system, and it's been seven months since the first application went out. He recognized that they received those requests and it wasn't inputted into the computer properly.

Ms. Brown: So you just need a motion to proceed? It's not that we did something wrong.

Ms. Kilinski: Yes. The motion would be, assuming we can't work out something with DVPR, that there would be an enforcement hearing and we need authorization for staff to move forward.

Mr. Setaro: Not to proceed with the first option.

Ms. Brown moved to proceed with an enforcement hearing process, for the District's alcohol license, and Mr. Michenzi seconded the motion.

Mr. Setaro: Are there any comments from the audience?

Ms. Stack: Rose Stack. Why wouldn't they take responsibility?

Ms. Kilinski: It's a State agency. They are not going to accept responsibility. There's not a venue for expending fees. Our best hope is that they recognize that it was their failure, so they don't seek enforcement against us.

Mr. Setaro: Are there any other comments?

A Resident: Could you please restate the motion?

Ms. Kilinski: The motion would be to authorize staff to move forward on the enforcement hearing process, rather than agree to the stipulated agreement, in the event that we can't work out some of the detail.

Mr. Setaro: Are there any other comments? Not hearing any,

On VOICE VOTE with all in favor, proceeding with an enforcement hearing process, for the District's alcohol license, if negotiations fail, was approved.
--

Ms. Kilinski: I have one other related request. On the pool contract litigation, in a few months we have a deposition to reschedule for Monday. The update on that litigation is that the lawyers for the pool contractor, actually withdrew from representation. We filed a motion objecting to the withdrawal, because we feel like they were just pushing it off, as it required them to produce information. We are withdrawing it, because it turns out that they have not been paid for their services, at all. We rescheduled the deposition for Monday. We anticipate that it will go through, although we have not received notice of a new attorney for the pool contractor, so it's possible that it will get cancelled again and this goes away. I wanted to give you that update, assuming that they hire new counsel. We have to follow the rules to call an executive session, so I'm going to go ahead and declare the need for an executive session for November 8, 2017 at 2:00 p.m., at this location, to discuss those matters. If we don't need it, we won't advertise it, but it doesn't cost anything to go ahead and declare it, in the event that there is a settlement offer.

Ms. Brown: Do you need a motion?

Mr. Setaro: No.

B. District Engineer

There being none, the next item followed.

C. District Manager's Report

Mr. Flint: I have nothing further to report.

D. Amenity Management

i. Amenity Management Report

Mr. Nelson: Everyone is aware that there were changes in the restaurant with the fire alarm system going off. We were able to get that system back online over the weekend. We also had the restaurant cleaned top to bottom and pressure washed. After the hurricane, we had to do it again. We purchased new pots and pans. The Health Inspector came out and passed us with no problems. Everything is going smoothly with the staff changes. We put Josh, who has been here since March 1st, in charge of the kitchen. Tonya resigned and we replaced her position with two positions. She was working too much overtime, so it made sense to split those. David and Ashley are sharing that responsibility. We had our first huge event with staff. We only had a week to prep everything. There was probably, from what I heard, one of the best events that we had there. The food was really good. We received many compliments on the food. We had an event on Tuesday night and from the email that I received this morning, that event went really well. I think staff is moving right along, without missing a beat. Josh prepared a new menu today, which I provided to Sharon. We are going to review that and see if we need to make some changes. On October 16, we are going back to the normal winter hours, which are from 11:00 a.m. to 2:30 p.m. on Monday, 11:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, 11:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. Wednesday through Saturday and 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Sunday. We are going back to normal hours, starting next Monday. We are also going to hopefully get that new menu out next week. I don't think the restaurant skipped a beat and its moving on track. It's taken a lot of time and effort to get it there, but it's there, and I think we are better off. As far as the hurricane, Floralawn is going to get the majority of their projects completed by Friday, except for the project that's in front of the admin building. They are going to start taking care of that, and then get to the sod. There have been some fallen trees. They are going to come back and put some dirt and sod in. All of the sod is currently under water, due to all of the rain, so once we get the sod, then they will come in and re-sod those areas. That's my report. I've only had two weeks, and within those two weeks, I've had a lot going on. It's just been prepping and preparing to get things back to the way they were. Unless you have any questions or comments, that's my report.

Ms. Brown: Thank you.

Mr. Nelson: I want to thank special events staff. The seventies event went over very well. It took a lot of time and effort for all of us to do that. I won't go into the financials, as far as the costs. I will bring it to the November meeting. I'm just waiting for a couple of things to clear, before I provide them. We have the Monster Bash, Fairfax Players and the garage sale coming up. Fairfax Players is scheduled for November 10 and everything else is in October. We already started selling tickets. Currently, we have 45 participants for the garage sale. For those who were here the last time, you need to apply to the City to get your permit. If you don't have the capabilities, we have the form and will take care of it for you, and then we will email your permit when we receive it.

Ms. Brown: Several people came to me and said that people from surrounding communities were at this event. It's one thing if we have family from out of town and you bring your family member to the event, but considering the fact that we are growing so quickly, for him to have two nights for some of these events, you do not have any control.

Mr. Nelson: This Saturday night, I noticed that. We are going to put a system in place to allow us to ask for lot numbers and addresses, when it's a resident only event, and people sign up. That's the only way to get around it. If you cannot provide us with a lot number or address, to check and verify, that's the only way that we will know that it's a true resident. Obviously, if they want to use their guest passes, they can do that.

Ms. Brown: I had some ladies behind me say that they were from Legacy, and other people were saying that they were not from here. We have a lot of new residents, so it's hard to tell. I didn't know if you were aware of that.

Mr. Setaro: Are there any questions for Kyle? Not hearing any, the next item followed.

ii. Consideration of Proposal for Holiday Lighting

Mr. Nelson: At the last meeting, I brought to the Board a proposal from Holiday Lighting that came in at \$14,968. I went back and re-negotiated that price down to do exactly what we did last year, which came out to \$8,348. The idea was to add the electric cost, to bring in electric into the square, so we don't have to do speed bumps every year, within that \$15,000 price range. By doing that, we brought down the holiday lighting proposal to \$8,348.

Mr. Flint: We distributed a revised proposal. I will note that the dollar amount for the agreement in your agenda package, needs to be revised in the event that you approve this proposal.

Ms. Brown: I added this up two or three times and I think the total is incorrect. I ended up with \$9,358. We should check the math. If they can do it for \$8,300, good.

Mr. Setaro: Are there any other comments? This is no improvement. It's what we did last year. The balance of amount in the budget will go towards the electrical.

Mr. Worrich: Was the \$975, the price that we were planning for the lights in the square to cost, or is that just part of the negotiation for reducing the cost?

Ms. Brown: I don't know the answer to that.

Mr. Nelson: Their numbers are slightly off. It was part of the negotiation.

Ms. Brown moved to approve the Holiday Lighting Proposal for the holiday lights, in the amount of \$8,348, and Ms. Faylor seconded the motion.

Mr. Setaro: Are there any audience comments regarding the proposal? Not hearing any.

On VOICE VOTE with all in favor, approving the Holiday Lighting Proposal for the holiday lights, in the amount of \$8,348, was approved.

iii. Consideration of Electric Proposal for Holiday Lights

Mr. Nelson: At the last meeting, the Board set a threshold with Pike's. I had an additional company come out and I'm hoping to get this cheaper than this, but with the threshold that was given to me of \$6,238.31, if I can, I will try to get it lower. In any event, I need to have an agreement with the electric company, whatever one we decide, but still keeping in mind that I will be able to do both of these items within the total amount of \$15,000.

Mr. Flint: He is looking for a not-to-exceed amount, and if the other proposal is lower, he will go with that.

Ms. Brown: In the contract, we should have some deadlines.

Ms. Brown moved to approve the electricity for the holiday lights, in a not-to-exceed amount of \$6,300, and Mr. Worrich seconded the motion.

Mr. Setaro: Are there any audience comments regarding the proposal? Not hearing any,

On VOICE VOTE with all in favor, approving the electricity for the holiday lights, in a not-to-exceed amount of \$6,300, was approved.

iv. Action Items List

Mr. Nelson: The projector/screen is scheduled to be in place before November. The Fairfax Players are planning to use it. We briefly discussed the phone system. We are just waiting for Opticatel to get here. I have assistants helping me with that one. The contractor for the bocce courts received their first payment. All of the materials were ordered. They are hoping to break ground by the end of this week, to at least start the demo work. We will pour the concrete, let it cure and then we will put down the fabric. We are looking at about a month-and-a-half turnaround at this point, since the materials have already been ordered. We are just waiting on the product to get here, so you can be assured that we are moving forward. I just verified that everything was ordered a couple of weeks ago, so we are set to go. I am waiting for several proposals for the new electronic sign. I met with three companies and I'm just waiting for the proposals. Hopefully, I can bring this back at a future meeting. A new company is working on the access control system. Most of these repairs, I can do within my threshold. They are fairly minor repairs. The issue is getting somebody here to get them done. What will be brought to you is adding the fire access door on the library door and the two lock rooms after hours, so no one can access the pool deck at night. I will bring those to you, once we receive them. George already mentioned the stormwater issues.

Mr. Flint: The word "*Investment*" should be "*Investigation*".

Mr. Setaro: Thank you, Kyle. Are there any questions on those action items? Not hearing any, the next item followed.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Other Business

Mr. Setaro: Is there any other business?

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisor Requests and Audience Comments

Mr. Setaro: Are there any audience comments?

Mr. Ryan: Mike Ryan, Camerons Run. Could you please repeat the restaurant hours?

Mr. Nelson: It's the exact same hours, as in the past. Its 11:00 a.m. to 2:30 p.m. on Monday, 11:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, 11:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. Wednesday through Saturday and 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Sunday.

Mr. Ryan: I thought we were open longer in the winter on Monday and Tuesday, because of the amount of golf played. We were going to close on Mondays in the winter. If I recall correctly, on Monday and Tuesday, we didn't close as early as these hours that you stipulated. Do you remember that, Sharon?

Ms. Brown: I remember closing on 2:30 p.m. on Monday and 5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, which are the nights that we don't have dinner. The rest of the nights we are closing at 8:00 p.m. As everybody knows, Wednesday through Sunday, the restaurant is open until 8:00 p.m. Sunday is the longest because we have brunch.

Mr. Setaro: Are there any other audience comments? Not hearing any, I will entertain the appropriate motion for adjournment.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

On MOTION by Mr. Michenzi, seconded by Mr. Worrich, with all in favor, the meeting adjourned.


Chairman/Vice Chairman


Secretary/Assistant Secretary